

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,855	02/06/2001	Hiroaki Hashigaya	_ 040302/0255	6842
7.	590 11/25/2002			
FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500			EXAMINER	
			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
P.O. Box 25696 Washington, DC 20007-8696		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	24
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 7 7 6855 Examiner Lana	Applicant(s), J HASLIGA V9 ET al Group Art Unit				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-						
Period for Reply	2.4	and the second s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE ONE	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lift NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) (-8	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)	_ is/are objected to.					
1 - 0	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers	requirement					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).						
` ★ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
*Certified copies not received:		•				
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	rview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	tice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R view, PTO-948	h r					
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTC-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Pap r No. -

Serial No. 09/776,855

Art Unit 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 2-6, drawn to a reformer controlling apparatus, classified in Class 422, subclass 211.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to a method of carrying out a steam reforming reaction and a partial oxidation reaction, classified in Class 252, subclass 373.

Claims 1 and 7 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 7. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 are no longer applicable. See In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Serial No. 09/776,855

Art Unit 1754

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus, such as one which does not include the specific limitations as recited in claims 2-6.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial No. 09/776,855 Art Unit 1754

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Art Unit 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

November 21, 2002

Mayne A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER